NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 501 (b), the article purported to be and was represented as "Amobarbital Sodium Capsules," a drug the name of which is recognized in the National Formulary, an official compendium, and its strength differed from the official standard. The standard provides that amobarbital sodium capsules contain not less than 90 percent of the labeled amount of amobarbital sodium, whereas the article contained less than 90 percent of the labeled amount of amobarbital sodium.

Misbranding, Section 502 (a), the label statement "Amobarbital Sodium U. S. P. 3 grains" was false and misleading as applied to the article, which contained less than 3 grains of amobarbital sodium per capsule.

DISPOSITION: October 22, 1953. The shipper and the consignee of the product having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of forfeiture was entered and the court ordered that the product be destroyed.

4295. Adulteration and misbranding of Drilozets lozenges. U. S. v. 22 Bottles * * *. (F. D. C. No. 36074. Sample No. 73832–L.)

LIBEL FILED: October 27, 1953, District of New Jersey.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On an unknown date from Philadelphia, Pa.

PRODUCT: *Drilozets lozenges.* 22 bottles, each containing 48 lozenges, at Trenton, N. J. Analysis showed that the product contained less than 30 percent of the declared amount of polymyxin.

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 501 (c), the strength of the article differed from that which it purported and was represented to possess, namely, 2,500 units of polymyxin B sulfate per lozenge.

Misbranding, Section 502 (a), the label statement "Each 'Drilozet' contains polymyxin B sulfate, 2,500 units" was false and misleading as applied to the article, which contained less than 2,500 units of polymyxin B sulfate per lozenge.

The article was adulterated and misbranded while held for sale after shipment in interstate commerce.

DISPOSITION: November 27, 1953. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.

4296. Adulteration and misbranding of adhesive bandages. U. S. v. 72 Boxes * * *. (F. D. C. No. 35719. Sample No. 54269–L.)

LIBEL FILED: October 14, 1953, Eastern District of Michigan.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about July 15, 1953, by the Handy Pad Supply Co., from Worcester, Mass.

PRODUCT: 72 boxes of *adhesive bandages* at Detroit, Mich.

LABEL, IN PART: (Box) "100 Dandy Bandages 1″ x 3¼″ Plain — Borated Gauze Pad – Sterilized."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 501 (b), the article purported to be and was represented as "Adhesive Bandage," a drug the name of which is recognized in the United States Pharmacopeia, an official compendium, and its quality and purity fell below the official standard since the article was not sterile.

Misbranding, Section 502 (a), the label statement "Sterilized" was false and misleading as applied to the article, which was not sterile but was contaminated with living micro-organisms.

DISPOSITION: November 5, 1953. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.